



Short communication

Comparison of performance of genetics 4N6 FLOQSwabs™ with or without surfactant to rayon swabs



Christophe Fripiat*, Fabrice Noel

National Institute of Criminalistics and Criminology, Chaussée de Vilvoorde 100, 1120, Brussels, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 February 2016

Received in revised form

18 May 2016

Accepted 5 June 2016

Available online 8 June 2016

Keywords:

Flocked swab

4N6FLOQSwabs™

Hexagon Obti

Blood

Surfactant

ABSTRACT

The collection of traces is the first step in the process of forensic genetics analysis. Currently, several different techniques are used (eg. gauze). Nevertheless, swabbing appears to be the most common of these. In a second step, the sampling devices should allow the use of preliminary tests in combination with an immunological confirmatory test (e.g. Hexagon Obti or Hemdirect). Our previous study shows that sampling with Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs™ coated with surfactant reduces by a factor of at least 100 the detection threshold of blood using two immunological tests. The aim of this work was to compare the ability to recover blood trace and the compatibility with immunological confirmatory test of various Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs™ nylon flocked swabs with or without surfactant.

The results obtain in this study show that Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs™ not coated with surfactant and Human DNA free FLOQswabs™ were suitable for the used in combination with immunological blood detection tests. Nevertheless, the Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs™ not surfactant coated give a better blood trace recovery.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd and Faculty of Forensic and Legal Medicine. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In forensic labs, the recovery of trace is done using several methodologies like swabbing or wet gauze. Single or double swabbing method to collect biological materials is the most common.

Generally the swabbing is performed using cotton swabs, but several works suggest that nylon flocked swabs (Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs™, Copan) could improve the DNA recovery¹ or the DNA typing² although this benefit seems to be dependent of the extraction methods used.³

A recent work shows that use of polyester swab wetted with 0.01% SDS as a swabbing medium improved DNA collection.^{4,5}

In our previous validation study, we observed that sampling with Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs™ reduces by a factor of at least 100 the detection threshold of blood using the Hexagon Obti and Hemdirect immunological test. Using infrared spectral analyses, we identified the presence of sulfosuccinate ester on the genetic Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs™.⁶ This surfactant is responsible to the inhibition of the blood immunological test.

In order to allow the use of Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs™ also with

immunological assays besides molecular testing, we have been working in close collaboration with Copan to validate a new production of Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs™. We compared the Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs™ that contain surfactant (OLD 4N6), the new production of Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs™ without surfactant (NEW 4N6) and a Copan line of a Human DNA free FLOQswabs™ marked as Medical Devices used for diagnostic testing. Rayon swabs were used as reference (RAYON). Using various dilutions of blood, we tested the recovery of DNA and the compatibility between these two new flocked swabs with two immunological blood detection strips.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Hexagon Obti test

Four human blood samples from different volunteers were collected in EDTA tubes. Blood samples were diluted with phosphate buffer saline to obtain dilutions ranging from 10X to 1.6 · 10⁵X. Fifty µl of each dilution were deposited on rayon swabs (Copan, Italy), Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs™ (Copan, Italy), a new production of Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs™ (Copan, Italy) and a line of a Human DNA free FLOQswabs™ (Copan, Italy), marked as Medical Devices used for diagnostic testing.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: christophe.fripiat@just.fgov.be (C. Fripiat).

Thereafter, each sampling support was incubated in 200 µl of the Hexagon Obti buffer overnight at 4 °C. This gave final dilutions between 50 to $8 \cdot 10^5$ times. Subsequently, 80 µl of this mixture were deposited on the Hexagon Obti strip (Human GmbH, Germany) and the results were recorded 10 min and 1 h after deposition of the sample. As control, each diluted blood sample was incubated in 200 µl of the Hexagon Obti buffer overnight at 4 °C without support. As above, 80 µl of this mixture were deposited on the Hexagon Obti strip (Human GmbH, Germany) and results were recorded following the same timing.

2.2. HemDirect test

For this test, our four human blood samples were diluted in MilliQ water to get dilutions ranging from 20X to $1 \cdot 6 \cdot 10^5$ X. Fifty µl of each dilution were placed on rayon swabs (Copan, Italy), Genetics 4N6FLOQSwabs™ (Copan, Italy), a new production of Genetics 4N6FLOQSwabs™ (Copan, Italy) and a line of a Human DNA free FLOQswabs™ (Copan, Italy). Then, each sampling support was incubated in 200 µl of the HemDirect buffer overnight at 4 °C. This gave final dilutions between 100 to $8 \cdot 10^5$ times. Subsequently, swabs were submitted to a quick spin in a spin basket and 120 µl of the obtained liquid were deposited on the HemDirect strip (Seratec, Germany). Results were recorded 10 min and 1 h after deposition of the sample. As control, diluted blood samples ($n = 5$) were incubated in 200 µl of the HemDirect buffer overnight at 4 °C without support. 120 µl of this mixture were placed on the HemDirect strip (Seratec, Germany) and results red after the same incubation times.

2.3. DNA extraction

Swabs were incubated in 240 µl of incubation buffer (DC920B-C, Promega, USA) added to 10 µl of protease K at 18 mg/ml (Promega, USA) at 56 °C overnight. Thereafter, the swabs were centrifuged using a spin basket. The elution was recovered, 500 µl of the lysis buffer of the DNA IQ™ Casework Sample Kit for Maxwell®16 (AS1210, Promega, USA) and 5 µl of DTT 1 M (V3151, Promega, USA) was added. The mix was submitted to DNA purification using Maxwell®16 robot (Promega, USA) and the kit DNA IQ™ Casework Sample for Maxwell®16 (AS1210, Promega, USA) following manufacturer instruction (Technical Bulletin – Tissue and Hair Extraction Kit (for use with DNA IQ™) Protocol – Instructions for use of product DC6740). The samples were eluted in 80 µl of elution buffer of the kit.

2.4. DNA quantification

The nuclear DNA in the extracts was quantified using the Quantifiler Trio system (Applied Biosystems, USA) and an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time thermal cycler, according to the

manufacturer's specifications. Each quantification was performed in duplicate. The average concentration was considered for the experiments.

3. Results

3.1. Hexagon Obti test

Table 1 presents the results obtained with the various dilutions tested in this study. The highest dilution enabling positive signal detection using OLD 4N6 was 100X. In comparison, the highest dilution allowing blood detection after 10 min was $8 \cdot 10^5$ for liquid and $1 \cdot 10^4$ for rayon swabs confirming our previous results showing that OLD 4N6 inhibited the detection of blood with the Hexagon Obti immunological strip.

Concerning the Human DNA free FLOQswabs™ and the NEW 4N6, the results are similar to the results obtained with the liquid control blood. In comparison to the rayon swabs, the performance of these two different flocked swabs regarding the immunological detection of blood is higher.

We also observed that for the lowest dilutions (50X and 100X) the signal of liquid, Human DNA free FLOQswabs™, the NEW 4N6 and RAYON decreased sharply probably due to the 'hook' effect.⁷

Detection after longer time (1 h) had weak or no influence.

3.2. HemDirect test

To confirm that both the NEW 4N6 and the Human DNA free FLOQswabs™ provided by Copan could be used with immunological detection tests, we performed the same analyses using HemDirect blood detection strips. For this test, we used dilutions ranging from 100X to $8 \cdot 10^5$ X.

The highest dilution enabling positive signal detection was 100X (Table 2) for OLD 4N6. The highest dilution allowing blood detection after 10 min was $1 \cdot 10^4$ for rayon swab as already observed. For the Human DNA free FLOQswabs™ and the NEW 4N6, the sensitivity reached the liquid control values proving the highest sensitivity obtain with these new flocked swabs.

As observed using Hexagon Obti, detection after longer time (1 h) had little or no influence. We also observed a 'Hook' effect for the lowest dilution using liquid control, rayon swabs, Human DNA free FLOQswabs™ and the NEW 4N6.

3.3. DNA recovery

The most important quality of a swab is the recovery of trace and release of the DNA during the extraction process. To investigate these collection devices, blood was diluted and 5 or 10 µl of these dilution was deposited in a microscopic glass slices and dried overnight or, as reference, in a microtube and frozen immediately. The

Table 1

Number of positive tests using Hexagon Obti strips with the various dilutions deposited, or not, on different sampling supports. Liquid samples served as positive controls and standards. $n = 5$. *: test signal much weaker than control signal on the strip.

Final dilution	50X		100X		500X		2000X		10000X		2·10 ⁵ X		8·10 ⁵ X		
	10'	1 h	10'	1 h	10'	1 h	10'	1 h	10'	1 h	10'	1 h	10'	1 h	
Liquid control	4*	4*	4*	4*	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Human DNA free FLOQswabs™	4*	4*	4*	4*	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
OLD 4N6	4*	1	4*	2*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW 4N6	4*	4*	4*	4*	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	2*	3*
RAYON	4*	4*	4*	4*	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	0	0	0	0

Table 2
Number of positive tests using HemDirect strips with the various dilutions deposited, or not, on different sampling supports. Liquid samples served as positive controls and standards. n = 5. *: test signal much weaker than control signal on the strip.

Final dilution	100X		500X		2000X		10000X		2·10 ⁵ X		8·10 ⁵ X	
	10'	1 h	10'	1 h	10'	1 h	10'	1 h	10'	1 h	10'	1 h
Liquid control	4*	4*	4*	4*	3	3	4	4	4*	4*	4*	4*
Human DNA free FLOQswabs™	4*	4*	4*	4*	1*	1*	4	4	4*	4*	3*	3*
OLD 4N6	4*	4*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW 4N6	4*	4*	4*	4*	4	4	4	4	1	1	4*	4*
Rayon	4*	4*	4	4	1	1	1*	2*	3*	3*	0	0
					3*	3*			0	0	0	0




Fig. 1. Percentage of the expected quantity of DNA for the various whole blood dilutions depending of the various swab type use for the sampling. Liquid samples served as reference standards. Dark columns: Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs surfactant coated (OLD 4N6); grey columns: Human DNA free FLOQswabs™; hatched columns: new production of Genetics 4N6FLOQswabs (NEW 4N6); white columns: rayon swabs (RAYON). n = 4.

diluted blood deposited corresponds to 5, 2, 1, 0.2 and 0.1 µl of non-diluted blood. The swabs used to collect the blood were wet using 50 µl of MilliQ water passed through a 0.22 µm. The DNA was extracted using the kit DNA IQ™ Casework Sample Kit for Maxwell®16 and quantified. All samples were quantified twice, at the same moment, with liquid references to avoid variation between quantification. Regardless of the real-time quantification precision, Fig. 1 shows a high recovery rate using RAYON and OLD 4N6 for the dilution corresponding to 5 µl of blood. The recovery rate reached 87.9% ± 17.3% for the rayon swab. No significant difference (p ≤ 0.05) was observed between these two different swabs. The performance of the two other swabs reached a

maximum of 25.2% ± 7.1% for the NEW 4N6. Similar observations were done for the dilution corresponding to 2 µl of blood. As for 5 µl of blood, RAYON and OLD 4N6 give better results in comparison to NEW 4N6 and Human DNA free FLOQswabs™.

For the dilution corresponding to 1 µl of blood, we observed no significant difference between OLD 4N6 and NEW 4N6. For example, the NEW 4N6 reached 94.6% ± 25.7% of the liquid reference. On the other hand, we observed that RAYON swabs and Human DNA free FLOQswabs™ give the lowest recovery rate significantly different to OLD 4N6.

For the fourth dilution, corresponding to 0.2 µl of blood, all the swabs used give similar results.

For the highest dilution, the better recovery was obtained using the OLD 4N6 and the NEW 4N6. The Human DNA free FLOQswabs™ and RAYON swab give a respective recovery of 58.9% ± 14.2% and 39.5% ± 8.9% significantly different to the OLD 4N6 and the NEW 4N6.

A general analysis of all these results shows that rayon swabs better fit high quantities of blood. On the other hand, a better recovery rate was obtained using the OLD 4N6 and the NEW 4N6 when the material available is low (1, 0.2 or 0.1 µl of blood).

Concerning the quality of the DNA obtained using these different sampling devices, the analysis of the degradation index (DI) showed no degradation of the DNA for all the various swabs (data not shown).

4. Discussions and conclusions

Our previous results have showed that the use of 4N6FLOQswabs™ with surfactant (OLD 4N6) for blood sampling strongly decreases the sensitivity of the Hexagon Obti and HemDirect blood detection strips but not PSA strips.⁶

Following this study, Copan produced, for us, a new line of 4N6FLOQswabs™ without any surfactant (NEW 4N6). We tested

Table 3
Percentage of the expected quantity of DNA for the various whole blood dilutions depending of the various swab types use for the sampling. Liquid control samples served as reference standards. The best swab(s) for the given blood quantities are in bold. n = 4. Advantages and disadvantages of each swab are reported in the last columns.

Blood quantities	0.1 µl	0.2 µl	1 µl	2 µl	5 µl	Advantage/disadvantage
Liquid control	This is the experiment reference					/
Human DNA free FLOQswabs™	58.9 ± 14.2	63.1 ± 12.3	47.4 ± 1.0	22.3 ± 2.6	16.1 ± 1.4	Lower recovery rate of blood stains in all experimental conditions Human DNA free
OLD 4N6	142.6 ± 35.2	103.3 ± 12.6	101.3 ± 3.5	50.4 ± 12.9	64.7 ± 20.8	Best swab for recovery of small blood stains Not compatible with immunological blood detection Human DNA free
NEW 4N6	97.7 ± 16.1	81.2 ± 28.4	94.7 ± 25.7	23.6 ± 2.8	25.2 ± 7.1	Best swab for recovery of small blood stains Compatible with immunological blood detection Human DNA free
RAYON	39.5 ± 8.9	60.0 ± 30.5	56.3 ± 18.7	40.1 ± 6.9	87.9 ± 17.3	Best swab for higher blood quantities Compatible with immunological blood detection Not human DNA free

the OLD 4N6, NEW 4N6 and a line of a Human DNA free FLOQSwabs™ in comparison to classical rayon swab (RAYON). All swabs, even rayon swabs, are produced by Copan (Italy).

For the Hexagon Obti, the highest dilution enabling positive signal detection using the two new swabs, NEW 4N6 or Human DNA free FLOQSwabs™, was $8 \cdot 10^5$. In comparison, the highest dilution allowing blood detection after 10 min was 100 for the previous OLD 4N6 and $1 \cdot 10^4$ for RAYON. Hook effect was observed for dilution below 500 for the two new flocked swabs.

In an identical study, we obtained very similar results using the Hemdirect test from Seratec.

The results obtained clearly show that the NEW 4N6 and Human DNA free FLOQSwabs™ used were suitable for the used in combination with immunological blood detection tests.

Nevertheless, as the DNA recovery is the major point for DNA typing, we also test the recovery of diluted blood using these four different swabs.

Using a modified version of the kit DNA IQ™ Casework Sample for Maxwell®16, we observed no incompatibility between the different flocked swabs and the extraction lysis buffer as observed by Dadhania et al.¹

Moreover, we observed that for 5 µl or 2 µl of blood, the RAYON and OLD 4N6 (surfactant coated) gives the best DNA recovery rate in comparison to the expected value. Below 2 µl of blood, the better DNA recovery was obtained using the OLD 4N6 and NEW 4N6. Table 3 summarize the results and give the accuracy, the disadvantage and advantage of all the swabs used.

In conclusion, our results showed the NEW 4N6 could be used

for blood trace sampling for both immunological detection assay and DNA detection assay.

Role of the funding source

All the reagents used for this work were purchased by Copan (Italy). This study was supported by the Federal Ministry of Justice of Belgium.

References

1. Dadhania A, Nelson M, Caves G, Santiago R, Podini D. Evaluation of Copan 4N6FLOQSwabs™ used for crime scene evidence collection. *Forensic Sci Int Genet Suppl Ser.* 2013;4(1):e336–e337. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigss.2013.10.171>.
2. Benschop CCG, Wiebosch DC, Kloosterman AD, Sijen T. Post-coital vaginal sampling with nylon flocked swabs improves DNA typing. *Forensic Sci Int Genet.* 2010;4:115–121. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2009.07.003>.
3. Brownlow RJ, Dagnall KE, Ames CE. A comparison of DNA collection and retrieval from two swab types (cotton and nylon flocked swab) when processed using three QIAGEN extraction methods. *J Forensic Sci.* 2012;57:713–717. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1556-4029.2011.02022.x>.
4. Chang CS, Grimes ME, Willis L, et al. Improving DNA recovery with polyester swabs and SDS. In: *Int Soc Hum Identif Congr 2015, Grapevine Texas, Poster N°9.* 2015:1.
5. Van Oorschot RA, Ballantyne KN, Mitchell RJ. Forensic trace DNA: a review. *Investig Genet.* 2010;1:14. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2041-2223-1-14>.
6. Fripiat C, De Roy G, Fontaine L-M, et al. Nylon flocked swab severely reduces Hexagon Obti sensibility. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2014;247:126–129. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2014.12.009>.
7. Fernando SA, Wilson GS. Studies of the “hook” effect in the one-step sandwich immunoassay. *J Immunol Methods.* 1992;151:47–66.